

Discomforts in Critically ill Patients: Our Experience in Intensive Care Unit of a Tertiary Care Hospital in India

REETU VERMA¹, SASMITA PANDA², RAJEEV KUMAR NISHAD³



ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patients admitted in the Intensive Care Units (ICUs) experiences various discomforts which may be recognised or unrecognised. These discomforts may arise from the environment, may be related to the ICU care and discomfort related to the health status of the patient and critical care interventions.

Aim: To identify the various discomforts in ICU patients, to classify them with respective causes, identify the most common cause among them and whether ICU sedation helps in reducing discomforts.

Materials and Methods: This observational study was conducted from 15th July to 15th October 2018 on 120 mixed ICU patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital in India. Patients who were admitted to ICU for more than 24 hours, aged 18 years and above, those who gave written informed consent were observed and enquired for any discomfort. Discomforts have been identified and recorded by a fulltime intensivist by direct observation, by interacting with the patients and asking the family members and others (indirect approach). Through this study discomforts of critically ill patients were broadly classified into four categories 1. Due to existing illness, 2. Due to ICU interventions, 3. Due to improper nursing care and 4. Due to environmental factors.

Results: Out of 120 patients studied, 84 patients (70%) reported some kind of discomfort during their ICU stay. Existing illness was the most common cause of discomfort, 80 patients (66.6%) suffered due to it. ICU interventions was the second most common cause, 71 patients (59.1%) had discomfort due to interventions. Thirty five patients (29.1%) suffered due to improper nursing care and 25 patients (20.8%) suffered due to the environmental factors. In this study, it was observed that sedation reduces all kind of discomforts.

Conclusion: In this study 70% of patients, who were admitted to ICU due to various illness reported some kind of discomfort. The most common cause of ICU discomforts was existing illness followed by ICU interventions. In this study it was observed that sedation reduces all kind of discomforts. Sedated patients tolerate the endotracheal tube better and they had less environmental and procedure related discomforts. With the present study observation it can be suggested that ICU charts of nurses and doctors can carry a separate column for mentioning discomforts in different duty shifts. However, with the use of appropriate analgesia and sedation discomfort can be reduced.

Keywords: Improper nursing care, Pain, Sedation, Stressful extubation, Symptoms

INTRODUCTION

Patients admitted in the ICU experiences various discomforts which may be recognised or unrecognised [1,2]. These discomforts may arise from the environment (noise, excessive light), may be related to the ICU care (frequent monitoring, isolation) and discomfort related to the health status of the patient and critical care interventions (pain, mechanical ventilation, pleural drain, intravenous access, urinary catheter, nasogastric tube). According to some authors discomforts are broadly classified as physical (pain, feeling of cold, feeling of heat, thirst and hunger) and psychological (isolation, anxiety, communication restriction, no respect for intimacy) [3,4]. These discomforts may lead to agitation and/or confusion in the patients during the ICU stay, also known as ICU delirium [5-8], or anxiety and depression [9], or post-traumatic stress disorder after the ICU stay [10-12], which affect the patient's quality of life [13]. Due to these discomforts, patients may develop a fear of the ICU [14,15]. This could lead to late admissions to the ICU at worsened health status of the patient, which may adversely affect patient outcomes.

Assessing discomforts in ICU patients can be more difficult than assessing discomforts in non-ICU patients because of the obvious reasons. Quantification of noise [16], lighting [17] and of physiologic parameters [18] were used as stressor indicators in some studies, but these did not entirely explore patient

discomfort, especially the self-perceived discomfort. Patient reported outcomes are better indicator of patient feelings and perceptions compared to objective indicators [19-22]. This has led to the development of several ICU-related perceived discomfort tools [3,20].

The purpose of the present study was to identify various discomfort and their causes in ICU patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital in India, and to identify most common cause of discomfort, and whether ICU sedation helps in reducing these discomforts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational study was conducted from 15th July to 15th October 2018. After obtaining approval from Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC No /154 dated 9th May 2018) and informed consent were obtained from patients or legally acceptable relatives. One hundred twenty ICU patients were studied for discomforts in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria: All ICU patients above 18 years of age and who had needed prolonged ICU admission (more than 24 hours) were included in this study. Patients who were transferred to other centres during the course of treatment and those who themselves or their legally acceptable relatives

were not willing to give informed consent, were excluded from the study.

Patients were observed and enquired for any discomfort. Discomforts were identified and recorded by a fulltime intensivist by direct observation, by interacting with the patients and asking the family members and others (indirect approach).

The discomforts were broadly classified into four categories- due to existing illness, due to ICU intervention, due to improper nursing care and due to environmental factors.

A 3-point Likert scale was used to rate intensity of discomfort. Patients were asked whether discomfort was present and if present, then to rate its severity (1=not very distressing; 2=moderately distressing and 3=very distressing).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Fisher-exact test was used to compare characteristic of patients who had, with those who did not had any discomfort, the statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Analyses was performed using SAS 9.4 (previously "Statistical Analysis System").

RESULTS

A total of 120 patients were included in this study, the age varied between 18 to 87 years (mean age of 57 years). There were 72 male patients and 48 female patients, with a male to female ratio of 1.5:1. There were 64 patients in surgery-trauma group and 56 patients in medical group [Table/Fig-1]. Total 86 patients were on mechanical ventilation and received sedation in form of continuous infusion of propofol or midazolam or a combination of midazolam with fentanyl; four patients were on non-invasive ventilation without any sedation. Remaining 30 patients did not require ventilatory support, among these four were given midazolam to alleviate anxiety.

Surgery- trauma group (64 patients)	Medical group (56 patients)
Road traffic accidents- 22 patients	Chronic/Acute Renal Failure (CRF/ARF)- 12 patients
Postoperative- 42 patients	Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)- 12 patients
Planned high-risk surgeries- 20 patients	Cerebro-Vascular Accident (CVA)- 9 patients
Emergency surgeries- 16 patients	Myocardial Infarction- 8 patients
Postoperative complications- 6 patients	Snake bite- 4 patients
	Miscellaneous causes- 11 patients

[Table/Fig-1]: Reason for ICU admissions (n=120).

In this study, 30 patients were fully conscious and among 90 sedated patients 9 were unconscious and 81 were consciously sedated. In conscious and consciously sedated patients, assessment of discomfort was done by direct observation, interaction and indirect approach. In case of remaining 9 unconscious patients, assessment of discomfort was done by indirect approach only.

Out of 120 patients studied, 84 patients (70%) were found to have some kind of discomfort. The prevalence of discomfort was less in sedated group {60 out of 90 (66.6%)} as compared to non-sedated group {24 out of 30 patients (80%)} however, the difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). Maximum number of patients suffered due to existing illness, followed by ICU interventions and improper nursing care whereas, least number of patients suffered due to environmental cause.

Total 80 patients (66.6%), 58 from sedated group and 22 from non-sedated group, had discomforts due to existing illness. Percentage of patients reporting discomforts was less in

sedated group (64.4%) than non-sedated group (73.3%), the difference was not statistically significant $p > 0.05$. Pain was the most common cause of discomfort due to the existing illness {61 (50.8%)} [Table/Fig-2].

Cause of discomforts*	Number of patients showing discomforts due to existing illness 80 (66.6%)		
	Total	Sedated** (58, 64.4%)	Non-sedated** (22, 73.3%)
Pain	61 (50.8%)	42	19
Difficulty in breathing	22 (18.3%)	14	8
Fever	21 (17.5%)	15	6
Delirium	19 (15.8%)	15	4

[Table/Fig-2]: Discomforts due to existing illness.
*As patients could report more than one source of discomfort so, summation of percentage exceeds category's percentage and may exceed 100%; **N for sedated group is 90 and for non-sedated group is 30

ICU intervention caused discomforts in 71 patients (59.1%). The prevalence of discomfort due to ICU intervention was more in sedated group (61.1%) as compared to non-sedated group (53.3%); it was not statistically significant $p > 0.05$. The higher prevalence of discomfort in sedated group due to ICU intervention was mainly because of endotracheal intubation, as all intubated patients were sedated and it is a major source of discomfort. Out of 86 intubated patients, 52 patients suffered (60.46%) intubation related problems. In whole study group, percentage of intubation related discomfort was 43.3%. Majority of the patients (25%) reported extubation as a stressful event.

Regarding other ICU interventions, all patients who were admitted to ICU for more than 24 hours (which is one of the inclusion criteria of study) must have regular blood pressure monitoring, intravenous line and urinary catheter (n=120). Pain and injury due to the Blood Pressure (BP) cuff was reported in 46 patients (38.3%). Repeated blood sampling was cause of pain and skin irritation in majority of the patients (40, 33.3%) [Table/Fig-3].

Cause of discomforts*	Number of patients showing ICU discomforts 71 (59.1%)		
	Total	Sedated** (55, 61.1%)	Non-sedated** (16, 53.3%)
1. Endotracheal tube	52 (43.3%)	52	0
Stressful extubation and sore throat	30 (25%)		
Hoarseness of voice after extubation	4 (3.3%)		
Communication difficulties	22 (18.33%)		
2. BP cuff	46 (38.3%)	36	10
3. Repeated blood sampling	40 (33.3%)	32	8
4. Tubings and catheters	33 (27.5%)	21	12
Pain at canula site	33 (27.5%)		
Extravasations of drug	14 (11.6%)		
Superficial thrombophlebitis	4 (3.3%)		
Burning sensation in urethra	11 (9.1%)		
Stabbing pain in suprapubic area	6 (5%)		

[Table/Fig-3]: Discomforts due to ICU interventions.
*As patients could report more than one source of discomfort so, summation of percentage exceeds category's percentage and may exceed 100%; ** N for sedated group is 90 and for nonsedated group are 30

Total 35 patients (29.1%), 20 from sedated group and 15 from non-sedated group, reported discomfort due to improper nursing care. The prevalence was less in sedated group (22.2%) as compared to non-sedated group; it was statistically significant $p < 0.05$. Majority of the patients (21, 17.5%) complained of one

or more episode of hunger or thirst due to improper feeding by nursing staff. Nine patients (7.5%) were from different regions of country and were unable to understand the local language and English which caused much distress to them. Four patients (3.3%) suffered from foul smell and rash due to improper body cleaning [Table/Fig-4].

Cause of discomforts*	Number of patients showing discomforts due to improper nursing care (35, 29.1%)		
	Total	Sedated** (20, 22.2%)	Non-sedated** (15, 50%)
Hunger or thirst	21 (17.5%)	12	9
Pressure ulcers	4 (3.3%)	3	1
Language problems	9 (7.5%)	5	4
Foul smell	4 (3.3%)	2	2

[Table/Fig-4]: Discomforts due to improper nursing care.

*As patients could report more than one source of discomfort so, summation of percentage exceeds category's percentage and may exceed 100%; **N for sedated group is 90 and for non-sedated group is 30

Environmental factors were cause of discomforts in 25 patients (20.8%), 14 from sedated group and 11 from non-sedated group. The prevalence of discomfort due to environmental factors was less in sedated group (15.5%) than non-sedated group (36.6%) and was also statistically significant $p < 0.05$ [Table/Fig-5].

Cause of discomforts*	Number of patients showing discomforts due to environmental factors (25, 20.8%)		
	Total	Sedated* (14, 15.5%)	Non-sedated* (11, 36.6%)
Noise	16 (13.3%)	6	10
Anxiety	11 (9.1%)	3	8
Difficulty to sleep	10 (8.3%)	2	8
ICU temperature	9 (7.5%)	4	5

[Table/Fig-5]: Discomforts due to environmental factors.

*As patients could report more than one source of discomfort so, summation of percentage exceeds category's percentage and may exceed 100%; **N for sedated group is 90 and for non-sedated group is 30

DISCUSSION

Admission to an ICU can be considered a stressful life event, the reason for the admission being critical or even a life-threatening event. Identification of the ICU discomforts may have implication on patient care. In this study 70% of patients, who were admitted to the ICU complained some kind of discomfort. In a study conducted by van der Leur JP et al., it was found that 54% patients discharged from ICU had a recollection of discomfort during their stay in the ICU [23]. In another study [24], 34% mechanical ventilated patients and 66% non-mechanical ventilated patients reported discomforts.

The major cause of discomfort from the existing illness was pain with 61 patients (50.8%) complained or showed visible/physiological signs of pain. In a study [24], 40% of patients admitted in ICU reported pain. Another study which was conducted in 44 ICUs in France, up to 51% of 1381 mechanically ventilated patients had substantial nonprocedure-related pain [25]. The incidence of pain was found to be 38% in a study conducted in 230 ICUs [26].

Difficulty in breathing was the most distressing symptom, 22 patients (18.3%) complained of dyspnoea in the present study. Although dyspnoea often precipitates the use of mechanical ventilation, there was no difference in intensity of dyspnoea between the patients who were on mechanically ventilated and those who were not on mechanical ventilation [27].

Although in previous studies it was found that patient on mechanical ventilation experienced dyspnoea [28-30], the pathophysiological mechanisms for, and iatrogenic sources of dyspnoea warrant additional investigation. As dyspnoea is very distressful symptom, Intensivist need to perform routine assessment of dyspnoea especially in mechanically ventilated patients.

Fever is commonly observed in critically ill patients and is defined as a rise in core body temperature to 38°C or more. Fever occurs in response to various infectious and non-infectious aetiologies. In present study, 21 patients (17.5%) suffered from fever during their ICU stay. According to previous studies elevated body temperature is associated with approximately 50% of ICU admissions [31-35]. Fever is associated with increased mortality in subpopulation of critically ill patients [36]. Optimal approach to manage hyperpyrexia in critically ill patients remain controversial till date.

In this study, delirium was found in 19 patients (15.8%), whereas another study [24] reported that 34% of ICU admissions suffered from delirium. Assessment of delirium must be done on routine basis, as it has adverse effect on ICU patient outcome.

The endotracheal tube was a major cause of discomfort due to the ICU interventions with 52 patients (43.3%) complained some form of discomfort. Thirty patients (25%) reported extubation as a stressful event. This finding is comparable to another study [23] who reported endotracheal tube as a source of discomfort in 42% of patients. According to a study conducted by Samuelson KA, 88% of patients rated their endotracheal tube related discomfort as moderately to extremely stressful [37].

Ventilator dependent patients are often deprived of communication due to the intubation, in this study 22 patients (18.3%) suffered from communication difficulties. Whereas, inability to talk was reported by 9% patients in a study conducted by van der Leur JP et al., [23]. Loss of speech give rise to severe emotional reaction such as anxiety and frustration.

The BP cuff was the second most common cause of discomfort due to the ICU interventions, 46 patients (38.3%) reported discomfort due to continuous monitoring of blood pressure. Repeated inflation of cuff resulted in pain and numbness in arm. A case of extensive skin necrosis due to BP cuff in a critically ill patient was reported by Devbhandari MP et al., [38]. Third most common cause of discomfort due to ICU interventions was repeated sampling, a total of 40 patients (33.3%) reported pain and skin irritation at sampling sites due to repeated blood samplings. In a study conducted by Turner JS et al., 48% of patients reported arterial blood gas sampling as a painful event [39].

Tubing and catheters were source of discomfort in 33 patients (27.5%). Fourteen patients (11.6%) reported swelling at canula site due to extravasation of drug in soft tissues, superficial thrombophlebitis was noted in 4 patients (3.3%) and pain was complained by all 33 patients (27.5%). Eleven patients (9.1%) reported discomforts related to the urinary catheter causing burning sensation in urethra, 6 patients (5%) also complained of stabbing suprapubic pain with an urge to void.

In this study episodes of hunger and thirst were reported by 21 patients (17.5%), whereas, only 9% patients reported thirst in a study conducted by van der Leur JP et al., [23]. Four patients (3.3%) developed pressure ulcers due to infrequent positioning by staff. The incidence of pressure ulcers was reported to be 31.4% in a study conducted by He M et al., [40].

The noise made by monitors and murmuring of ICU staff was the most common cause of the environmental discomfort. The findings

correlate to that of another study [23] that reported 14% of ICU patients suffering due to noise and bustle.

Anxiety was reported in 11 patients (9.1%) and sleep disturbances in 10 patients (8.3%), anxiety was more common in mechanically ventilated patients. In fact, in one study, 85% of 106 mechanically ventilated patients reported some anxiety, and it was moderate to severe in 69% of patients [41]. The lesser prevalence of anxiety in the present study is because of less mechanically ventilated patients and also may be due to under reporting by the patients. These findings suggest that intensivists need to perform systematic assessment of anxiety and use both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic measures to alleviate this symptom.

In this study, it was observed that sedation makes the patients comfortable to all kinds of discomforts. As sedation wears off, patients try to pull the endotracheal tube, remove the catheters and become agitated. It was also observed that non-sedated patients were more prone to the environmental and procedure-related discomforts.

Limitation(s)

As this is a single centre study with its unique environment and staff, which are also factors for patient's discomforts. So, the similar study will produce different results at different centers. A multicentric study with large numbers of patients is needed to rule out above limitations.

CONCLUSION(S)

In this study, 70% of patients, who were admitted to ICU due to various illnesses, reported some kind of discomfort. The most common cause of discomforts in critically ill patients was existing illness followed by ICU interventions. In this study, it was observed that sedation reduces all kinds of discomforts. Sedated patients tolerate endotracheal tube better and they had less environmental and procedure-related discomforts. With the present study observation, it can be suggested that ICU charts of nurses and doctors can carry a separate column for mentioning discomforts in different duty shifts. However, with the use of appropriate analgesia and sedation, discomfort can be reduced.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ballard KS. Identification of environmental stressors for patients in a surgical intensive care unit. *Issues Ment Health Nurs*. 1981;3(1-2):89-108.
- [2] Rotondi AJ, Chelluri L, Sirio C, Mendelsohn A, Schulz R, Belle S, et al. Patients' recollections of stressful experiences while receiving prolonged mechanical ventilation in an intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med*. 2002;30(4):746-52.
- [3] Nelson JE, Meier DE, Oei EJ, Niernan DM, Senzel RS, Manfredi PL, et al. Self-reported symptom experience of critically ill cancer patients receiving intensive care. *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29(2):277-82.
- [4] Kalfon P, Mimoz O, Loundou A, Geantot MA, Revel N, Villard I, et al. Reduction of self-perceived discomforts in critically ill patients in French intensive care units: Study protocol for a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2016;17:87. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-016-1211-x>
- [5] Dubois MJ, Bergeron N, Dumont M, Dial S, Skrobik Y. Delirium in an intensive care unit: A study of risk factors. *Intensive Care Med*. 2001;27(8):1297-304.
- [6] Van Rompaey B, Elseviers MM, Schuurmans MJ, Shortridge-Baggett LM, Truijzen S, Bossaert L. Risk factors for delirium in intensive care patients: A prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2009;13(3):R77.
- [7] Ely EW, Margolin R, Francis J, May L, Truman B, Dittus R, et al. Evaluation of delirium in critically ill patients: Validation of the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU). *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29(7):1370-79.
- [8] Girard TD, Ely EW. Delirium in the critically ill patient. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2008;90:39-56.
- [9] Jackson JC, Hart RP, Gordon SM, Shintani A, Truman B, May L, et al. Six-month neuropsychological outcome of medical intensive care unit patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2003;31(4):1226-34.
- [10] Davydow DS, Gifford JM, Desai SV, Needham DM, Bienvenu OJ. Posttraumatic stress disorder in general intensive care unit survivors: A systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2008;30(5):421-34.
- [11] Jones C, Griffiths RD, Humphris G, Skirrow PM. Memory, delusions, and the development of acute posttraumatic stress disorder-related symptoms after intensive care. *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29(3):573-80.
- [12] Schelling G, Stoll C, Haller M, Briegel J, Manert W, Hummel T, et al. Health-related quality of life and posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med*. 1998;26(4):651-59.
- [13] Granja C, Lopes A, Moreira S, Dias C, Costa-Pereira A, Carneiro A. Patients' recollections of experiences in the intensive care unit may affect their quality of life. *Crit Care*. 2005;9(2):R96-109.
- [14] Hasman A, Askham A, Rothen HU, Berg P, Bion JF, Reay H. The views of patients and relatives of what makes a good intensivist: A European survey. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33(11):1913-20.
- [15] Azoulay E, Chevret S, Leleu G, Pochard F, Barbot M, Adrie C, et al. Half the families of intensive care unit patients experience inadequate communication with physicians. *Crit Care Med*. 2000;28(8):3044-49.
- [16] Elander G, Hellstrom G. Reduction of noise levels in intensive care units for infants: Evaluation of an intervention program. *Heart Lung*. 1995;24(5):376-79.
- [17] Brandon DH, Holditch-Davis D, Belyea M. Preterm infants born at less than 31 weeks' gestation have improved growth in cycled light compared with continuous near darkness. *J Pediatr*. 2002;140(2):192-99.
- [18] Wessel DL. Hemodynamic responses to perioperative pain and stress in infants. *Crit Care Med*. 1993;21(9 Suppl):S361-62.
- [19] Bergbom-Engberg I, Haljamae H. Assessment of patients' experience of discomforts during respirator therapy. *Crit Care Med*. 1989;17(10):1068-72.
- [20] Novaes MA, Aronovich A, Ferraz MB, Knobel E. Stressors in ICU: Patients' evaluation. *Intensive Care Med*. 1997;23(12):1282-85.
- [21] Simini B. Patients' perceptions of intensive care. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9178):571-72.
- [22] Soehren P. Stressors perceived by cardiac surgical patients in the intensive care unit. *Am J Crit Care*. 1995;4(1):71-76.
- [23] van der Leur JP, van der Schans CP, Loef BG, Deelman BG, Geertzen JHB, Zwaveling JH. Discomfort and factual recollection in intensive care patients. *Critical care (London, England)*. 2004;8:R467-73. [10.1186/cc2976](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc2976).
- [24] Puntillo KA, Arai S, Cohen NH, Gropper MA, Neuhaus J, Paul SM, et al. Symptoms experienced by intensive care unit patients at high risk of dying. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38(11):2155-60.
- [25] Payen JF, Chanques G, Mantz J, Hercule C, Auriant I, Leguillou JL, et al. Current practices in sedation and analgesia for mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: A prospective multicenter patient-based study. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;106:687-95. [quiz 891-892](https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e318014892).
- [26] Chanques G, Sebbane M, Barbotte E, Viel E, Eledjam JJ, Jaber S. A prospective study of pain at rest: Incidence and characteristics of an unrecognized symptom in surgical and trauma versus medical intensive care unit patients. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;107:858-60.
- [27] Spector N, Connolly MA, Carlson KK. Dyspnea: Applying research to bedside practice. *AACN Adv Crit Care*. 2007;18:45-58. [quiz 59-60](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCN.0b013e318014892).
- [28] Schmidt M, Banzett RB, Raux M, Morélot-Panzini C, Dangers L, Similowski T, et al. Unrecognized suffering in the ICU: Addressing dyspnea in mechanically ventilated patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2014;40(1):1-10.
- [29] Nelson JE, Meier DE, Litke A, Natale DA, Siegel RE, Morrison RS. The symptom burden of chronic critical illness. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32:1527-34.
- [30] Connelly B, Gunzerath L, Knebel A. A pilot study exploring mood state and dyspnea in mechanically ventilated patients. *Heart Lung*. 2000;29:173-79.
- [31] Barie PS, Hydo LJ, Eachempati SR. Causes and consequences of fever complicating critical surgical illness. *Surg Infect*. 2004;5:145-59.
- [32] Laupland KB, Shahpori R, Kirkpatrick AW, Ross T, Gregson DB, Stelfox HT. Occurrence and outcome of fever in critically ill adults. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:1531-35.
- [33] Egi M, Morita K. Fever in non-neurological critically ill patients: A systematic review of observational studies. *J Crit Care*. 2012;27:428-33.
- [34] Niven DJ, Stelfox HT, Shahpori R, Laupland KB. Fever in adult ICUs: An interrupted time series analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2013;41:1863-69.
- [35] Rincon F, Hunter K, Schorr C, Dellinger RP, Zanotti-Cavazzoni S. The epidemiology of spontaneous fever and hypothermia on admission of brain injury patients to intensive care units: a multicenter cohort study. *J Neurosurg*. 2014;121:950-60.
- [36] Niven DJ, Laupland KB. Pyrexia: Aetiology in the ICU. *Crit Care*. 2016;20:247.
- [37] Samuelson KA. Adult intensive care patients' perception of endotracheal tube-related discomforts: A prospective evaluation. *Heart Lung*. 2011;40(1):49-55.
- [38] Devbhandari MP, Shariff Z, Duncan AJ. Skin necrosis in a critically ill patient due to a blood pressure cuff. *J Postgrad Med*. 2006;52:136-38.
- [39] Turner JS, Briggs SJ, Springhorn HE, Potgieter PD. Patients' recollection of intensive care unit experience. *Crit Care Med*. 1990;18:966-68.

[40] He M, Tang A, Ge X, Zheng J. Pressure ulcers in the intensive care unit: an analysis of skin barrier risk factors. *Advances in Skin & Wound Care*. 2016;29(11):493-98.

[41] McKinley S, Nagy S, Stein-Parbury J, Bramwell M, Hudson J. Vulnerability and security in seriously ill patients in intensive care. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs*. 2002;18:27-36.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Autonomous State Medical College Society, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.
2. Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Chirayu Medical College and Hospital, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.
3. Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, F. H. Medical College and Hospital, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Rajeev Kumar Nishad,
C/o Dr. Reetu Verma, S.N. Hospital Campus, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.
E-mail: drrajeevkumarnishad@gmail.com

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jan H et al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Sep 23, 2020
- Manual Googling: Jan 08, 2021
- iThenticate Software: Jan 28, 2021 (9%)

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. NA

Date of Submission: **Sep 22, 2020**

Date of Peer Review: **Dec 14, 2020**

Date of Acceptance: **Jan 08, 2021**

Date of Publishing: **Feb 01, 2021**